

DEBATES OF THE ASSAM LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY
1972

FIRST SESSION

Vol. 1 No. 12

The 8th April 1972

| Contents | Pages |
|--|-------|
| 1. Starred Questions And Answers | 1—15 |
| 2. Paper laid on the table— | |
| (i) Agreement on Nagaland Border | 15 |
| (ii) Power of District Councils—North Cachar and Mikir Hills | 15 |
| 3. Constitution of Committees— | |
| Committee on Petitions | |
| Committee on Subordinate Legislation | |
| Committee on Government Assurances | |
| Library Committee | 17 |
| 4. Result of Election to the Board of Management, Assam Agricultural University | 17 |
| 5. Re : Death from Gastro-Enteritis and Dysentery in Konwartal Mouza | 18—21 |
| 6. Re : Regular appointment of Master Roll Workers | 21—22 |
| 7. Calling Attention to a Matter of Urgent Public Importance—Retrenchment of Master Roll Workers by P. W. D. | 22 |
| 8. Complaint of breach of privilege against Shri Mal Chandra Pegu, M L A for casting reflection on a Member | 23—36 |
| 9. Debate on the Governor's Address | 36—45 |
| 10. Re : The Assam Panchayat Bill | 45—47 |
| 11. Prorogation | 47—48 |

Shri SARAT CHANDRA SINHA (Chief Minister) replied :

19. (a)—Five.

(b)—Yes.

(c)—The Pay Commission in its interim report submitted to the Government on the 31st January, 1972 recommended interim relief to the Government servants at the following rates with effect from the 1st September 1979.

Drawing pay—

| Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | |
|-------|-------|-----|------------|
| Below | 110 | 21 | per month. |
| 110 | 199 | 19 | " |
| 200 | 399 | 17 | " |
| 400 | 749 | 19 | " |
| 750 | 999 | 15 | " |
| 1,000 | 2,250 | 10 | " |

with provision for marginal adjustment:

The Commission further recommended that half of the interim relief to be given to the State Government employees may be deposited in their Provident Fund Accounts with effect from the 1st January, 1972.

(d)—The Commission was asked to submit its report within one year from the date of its constitution which was 5th August, 1971:

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua - May I know from the hon Chief Minister whether under the terms of reference the sided School Teachers, the Aided College Teachers and Fourth Grade employees are also included for enhancement of their pay-scale. May I also know from the Chief Minister whether Govt. propose to give any interim relief to those categories of employees.

Shri Sarat Chandra Sinha :- They are included, Sir.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua :- Whether they will be getting this interim relief?

Shri Sarat Chandra Sinha :- Yes.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua- An hon Member has become a Minister and I have been told unless this Pay Commission is re-constituted there will be no sitting and as a result the submission of the report will be delayed. May I ask the Chief Minister to re-constitute it immediately.

Shri Sarat Chandra Sinha- It will be done immediately.

Shri Ataur Rahaman- What about the Panchayat and other semi-Govt. employees?

Shri Sarat Chandra Sinha- They are not included.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua- Whether Govt. propose to include them?

Shri Sarat Chandra Sinha- This is not under consideration.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua- Almost all categories of employees are serving in public sector industries; whether they will also be given such kind of benefit?

Shri Sarat Chandra Sinha- At present we have not taken it into consideration.

Re: Posting of C. R. P.

Shri CHARAN NARZARY asked:

* 20. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(a) Whether C. R. P. men have been posted at some places like Dotma in Kokrajhar Subdivision?

(b) If so, the reasons thereof?

(c) Whether the Government is aware that there exists no cause of breach of peace anywhere within the area and the presence of the C. R. P. creates terror in the minds of the people there ?

(d) If so, whether Government propose to withdraw the C. R. P. personnel from those places in the interest of peace ?

Shri SARAT CHANDRA SINHA (Chief Minister) replied :

20. (a)—Yes, at Kokrajhar, Gossaigaon and Serfanguri now.

(b)—Consequent on some incidents in some places of Kokrajhar Subdivision before and during the last Assembly Elections tension continues to exist after the election there and as the resources of the District Executive Force were not found to be adequate to deal with the situation, C. R. P. personnel have been deployed there to assist the local Police in the maintenance of law and order.

(c)—It is not correct to say that there exists no cause of breach of peace anywhere in the area as several cases of breach of peace have already been registered in some Police Stations in the Subdivision before and during the last elections and even after the same. The C. R. P. personnel have been posted in the area to assist the local Police in maintenance of peace there and hence their presence would not create terror in the minds of peace loving and law abiding citizens.

(d)—The C. R. P. personnel will be withdrawn as soon as tension prevailing there at present ceases. Originally 2 Companies of C. R. P. were detailed to Kokrajhar Subdivision but only one Company is stationed there now.

St. No. 20 (Supplementaries)

Shri Charan Narzary- Hon' Chief Minister's reply gives the impression that C. R. P. personnel have been deployed only after election. But so far as I know the C. R. P. personnel were deployed during election time also and they were deployed for the purpose of assisting the Congress candidate to win the election. Now, I want to know whether this is a fact?

Shri Sarat Chandra Sinha- Sir, I have said that the CRP was there before and after election,

Shri Giasuddin Ahmed- There is another part of the supplementary. The first part is whether they were deployed before election and the second part is whether they were deployed to assist the Congress candidate.

Shri Sarat Chandra Sinha- Never, Sir.

Shri Charan Narzary- Hon Chief Minister has said that there are reports of breach of peace in those areas. If there are breach of peace in those areas will the hon Chief Minister let this House know the specific unumber of cases registered in police stations which are stated to be breach of peace in nature? Whether specific cases have been reistered in the police stations?

Shri Sarat Chandra Sinha- Sir, I have long list. It is a long list of 11 cases and it will take much time of the House to read out.

Shri Giasuddin Ahmed- Under what sections these cases have been instituted?

Shri Sarat Chandra Sinha: Sections 342, 329 and various other sections of IPC.

Shri Charan Narzary: I want to say here that

Kokrajhar and Gossaigaon police stations.

Mr. Speaker : You may ask questions but not make a statement.

Shri Charan Narzary : My point is Kokrajhar and Gossaigaon are full-fledged police stations and Serfanguri is a out-post, and these police stations are fully equipped with sufficient staff. Now if there by any breach of peace at all I want to ask the hon'ble Chief Minister whether the existing staff in those police stations are sufficient or not to maintain peace and order in those areas and also I want to ask the Chief Minister what extraordinary situation arised there for which the C. R. P. personnel had to be deployed ?

Shri Sarat Chandra Sinha : Sir, The district executive force is not enough to cope with the situation. The situation that has arisen there is indicated by the different cases registered in the police stations there.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua : All those sections mentioned by the Chief Minister comes under normal law and what extraordinary situation has arisen in those areas ? May I know from the hon'ble Chief Minister whether even for the maintenance of normal law and order do Govt. propose to post C. R. P. personnel ?

Shri Sarat Chandra Sinha : The peace of the area was threatened and in order to maintain peace and order the C. R. P. personnel were deputed there. They are there not to enforce law and order but to assist the District Executive Force, they are standing by.

Shri Giasuddin Ahmed : What was the total number of cases instituted during three years preceding the date of deployment of the C. R. P. there.

Shri Sarat Chandra Sinha : This is a new question. Sir.

Shrimati Renuka Devi Barkataky : Law and order is a State subject and the cases registered under the I. P. C. are normal cases and these type of cases normally occur throughout the State. I, therefore, want to know from the Hon'ble Chief Minister whether Government proposes to deploy C. R. P. to other districts also as they have in Kokrajhar?

Shri Sarat Chandra Sinha : Where there is law and order question and the District Executive Force is not enough to cope with the situation C. R. P. personnel will be sent to assist the District Force.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua : Is Government aware that instead of maintaining law and order, the C. R. P. personnel wherever they have been deputed create more trouble? Is Government also aware that there has been a constant demand in this House that the C. R. P. are to be withdrawn and sent back to the Central Government?

Shri Sarat Chandra Sinha : About creating trouble by the C. R. P., we do not know.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua : Is Government aware that a serious incident had taken place at North Lakhimpur where the C. R. P. committed atrocities on the civilian population and did so many unwanted things for which the entire State was demanding complete withdrawal of the C. R. P. from our State?

Shri Sarat Chandra Sinha : That will be looked into.

Shrimati Renuka Devi Barkataky : The Hon'ble Chief Minister said that where the State Police is not

enough to cope with the situation, the C. R. P. is deployed. In view of the past record of against the C. R. P., does Government propose to strengthen the Assam Police so that we may depend entirely on our Police ?

Shri Sarat Chandra Sinha : This is nice suggestion.

Shri Charan Narjary : What is the total strength of the C. R. P. in the Kokrajhar subdivision and what is the expenditure incurred for them up till now ?

Shri Sarat Chandra Sinha : This is a new question, Sir.

Shrimati Renuka Devi Barkataky : I have not received answer to my question. The Chief Minister should tell us whether he is going to accept my suggestion or not.

(No reply)

Shri Charan Narjary : There is no tension at all in that area. I am from that constituency. The presence of C. R. P. there has deteriorated the situation adversely affecting the normal life of the people. So I request the Hon'ble Chief Minister to withdraw the C. R. P. from that area.

Shri Sarat Chandra Sinha : Our report is otherwise. Sir.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua : Is Government aware of the fact that annually we are to incur an expenditure of nearly 40 lakhs of rupees for the C. R. P. ? In view of the demand made in this House on several occasions for withdrawal of C. R. P., do Government propose to raise one or two more battalions to strengthen our Police force, which will also give employment to the local youths ?

Shri Sarat Chandra Sinha : We may examine the suggestion.

Re: Grievances of A. C. S. Class II Officers

Shri DULAL CHANDRA BARUA asked :

* 21. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(a) What were the grievances placed before the Government by A. C. S. Class II Officers Association ?

(b) Whether it is a fact that the Government did not pay any heed till now towards the genuine and legitimate grievances of the A. C. S. Class II Officers of the State inspite of their representation made to the Government ?

(c) If so, the reasons thereof.

Shri SARAT CHANDRA SINHA (Chief Minister) replied.

21. (a)—The main grievances of A. C. S. Class II Officers Association were as follows—

(i) Amalgamation of A. C. S I. and A. C. S. II Cadres,

(ii) Confirmation on promotion to A. C. S. I. without passing the Departmental Examination.

(iii) Enhancement of selection grade to 10 percent and reduction of the number of years for eligibility to selection grade from 20 years to 15 years.

(b)—No.

(c)—Does not arise in view of reply to (b) above.

St. No. 21

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua: Is it also a fact that the A. C. S. Class II Officers' Association in their Memorandum submitted to Government is demanding more quota of promotion to Class I ?

Shri Sarat Chandra Sinha: That is true.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua : Is Government considering this demand? Along with this I also want to know whether Government propose to raise the promotion quota of A. C. S. Class I to the I. A. S.

Shri Sarat Chandra Sinha : The whole thing is being examined.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua : Is Government aware that the total strength of the I. A. S. Cadre in Assam is much more compared to our population? Does Government propose to raise the promotion quota to 50% instead of 25% for A. C. S. Class I as had been prescribed earlier, by taking up the matter with the Government of India even by amending the rules? So that more promotion avenues could be made for A. C. S. II to A. C. S. Class I.

Shri Sarat Chandra Sinha : At this stage it is not possible for me to make any commitment.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua : What have Government finally decided about amalgamation?

Shri Sarat Chandra Sinha : Amalgamation is not feasible.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua : Is it not a fact that once this Government decided not to recruit any more Class II Officers?

Shri Sarat Chandra Sinha : That information is not with me, Sir.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua : By considering the work-load of the A. C. S. Class II Officers, do Government propose to increase their promotion quota to Class I?

Shri Sarat Chandra Sinha : I think, Sir, this is under consideration.

Re: Eviction from requisitioned land of

Hatipatty Tea Estate

Shri PROMODE CHANDRA GOGOI asked :

* 22 Will the Minister-in-charge of Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) Whether it is a fact that flood and erosion affected people of Dighaldariali in Kowergpore Mouza within the Sibsagar Subdivision who took shelter in the Hatipatty T. E. requisitioned land have been evicted by the Manager of the Tea Garden?

(b) If so, what steps have been taken by the Government to protect these flood and erosion affected people?

Shri PARAMANANDA GOGOI (Minister, Revenue) replied :

22. (a) & (b)—According to information and petitions received by Subdivisional Officer, Sibsagar, the Manager, Meckeypore Tea Estate threatened to evict the flood and erosion affected people of Dighaldariali of Kowergpore Mouza, who took shelter at Hatipatty Grant. The S. D. O. immediately took action and asked the management not to disturb the possession of these people and also issued show cause notice on him. The Manager however, protested that the land he proposed to utilize by eviction does not fall within the requisitioned area. The matter is now under scrutiny and verification.

Re: Employment in the Regional Research Laboratory, Jorhat

Shri DULAL CHANDRA KHOUND asked :

* 23. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(a) The number of persons employed by the Regional Research Laboratory, Jorhat during 1970 and 1971 ?

(b) How many of them are local people ?

Shri SARAT CHANDRA SINHA, (Chief Minister) replied :

23. (a)—In 1970—16 persons

In 1971—32 persons.

(b)—In 1970, 5 local people out of 16.

In 1971, 16 local people out of 32.

Re : Erosion on Taxi-Ali in Sibsagar

Shri PROMODE CHANDRA GOGOI asked :

* 24. Will the Minister-in-charge of P. W. D. (R. and B.) be pleased to state—

(a) Whether the Department took up permanent protection works to prevent erosion on Taxi-Ali within the Sibsagar Siubdivision ?

(b) Whether further erosion took place after the measures were taken up by the Department ?

(c) Whether the Department will take urgent steps to check erosion on the Taxi-Ali ?

Dr. LUTFUR RAHMAN [Minister, P.W.D. (R. and B.)] replied :

24. (a)—Yes; Action was taken in the year (1970-71) to prevent erosion on Taxi Ali by providing saugages wall and boulder pitching to the bank-slope.

(b)—Yes. Further erosion took place at the places in 3/2, 3/4 and 4/8F as the boulder pitching sunk-down after subsidence of the flood.

(c)—Steps are being taken to stop further erosion and to provide diversion in the unsafe places.

Shri Promode Chandra Gogoi :- May I know from the Hon'ble Minister, P.W.D., what steps have been taken so far by the Department to protect the area from erosion?

Dr. Lutfur Rahman :- I have already said "by providing saugases wall and boulder pitching to the bank-slope".

Shri Promode Chandra Gogoi :- But that was in the previous year. These measures were taken after erosion last year. What measures have been proposed by the Department this year?

Dr. Lutfur Rahman :- Taxi-Ali is actually working both as a bund and a road. This Department proposed to hand it over to the E & D Department, but they have not responded as yet. My predecessor in office visited the area and tried to hand it over to E&D Department. He remarked that this cannot be maintained by the P.W.D. (R&B Wing). It is very much affected by the Brahmaputra flood. When there is no response from the E & D Department, or from Brahmaputra Commission, we are trying to provide boulder pitching to the bank slope and do repair works.

Shri Promode Chandra Gogoi : Sir, actually the Brahmaputra Flood control Department did not agree to take over the road from the PWD, (R & B) to take up immediate measures so that flood erosion can be saved and the whole area can be protected from devastating flood.

Dr. Lutfur Rahman- We are trying to stop further erosion by providing saugases wall and boulder pitching to the bank stop.

Re : Kabaitari Chapor P. W. D. Road

Shri DHRUBA BARUA asked :

* 25. Will the Minister-in-charge of P. W. D. (R. & B.) be pleased to state—

(a) The year of taking over of the Kabaitari Chapor road a distance of 17 K. M. only by the P. W. D. ?

(b) Whether this road has been completed and made fit for vehicular traffic ?

(c) If not, what is the reason of such inordinate delay in completion of the road ?

(d) Whether Government is aware of the importance of this road due to selection of site for Ashok Paper Mill at Jogighopa and if so, whether Government propose to complete the construction of the road within this Calendar year ?

Dr. LUTFUR RAHMAN [Minister P. W. D. (R. and B.)] replied :

25. (a)—A road named Jogighopa Chapor has been taken over by the P. W. D. in the year 1958 for improving and gravelling.

(b)—The work of the road has been completed and opened for vehicular traffic but due to existence of very sharp curves in the hilly portion of the road the hilly stretches are not fit for heavy vehicles.

(c)—In view of reply at (a) and (b) above, does not arise.

(d)—Yes. For further improvement of 1·80 K. M. of the said road and amount of Rs. 45,800 has been sanctioned the Fourth Five-Year Plan and another estimate for Rs. 3,39,000 has also been sanctioned for 'Improvement of the road from Jogighopa (Kaibaitary) railway station to Ashok Paper Mill by the side of

Chapor-Jogighopa road and strengthening of the two bridges' under Industries.

It is expected that both the works will be completed in all respects during the Fourth Plan period.

Papers laid on the table—(i) Agreement on Nagaland Border (ii) Power of District Councils—N. C. & Mikir Hills

Shri Sarat Chandra Sinha (Chief Minister): Mr. Speaker, Sir, I would like to inform the hon. members that I have placed on the table of the House two statements viz.

(1) Agreement on Nagaland Border.
(2) Power of District Councils—N. C. & Mikir Hills.

Shri Gaurisankar Bhattacharyya: Sir, the statement with regard to the Anguri-Mokokchung Road has not been placed by the hon. Chief Minister. Sir, the contention from our side was that at the time when Nagaland was made separate State, the road which falls within our territory was not taken over by us. The Chief Minister was pleased to say maintenance was done by the Nagaland Government, but the road would be ours. We are interested to get a statement to the effect that there was some sort of agreement between Nagaland Government and Government of Assam regarding this road.

Shri Sarat Chandra Sinha: Sir, I will send that statement later on to the home address of the honourable members.

(Voice—Yes, that will do)

Constitution of Committees:— Committee on Petitions

Mr. Speaker: In exercise of the powers conferred by rule 239 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct

Committee on Petitions, Committee on Subordinate
 Legislation, Committee on Government Assurances
 Library Committee.

of Business in Assam Legislative Assembly, I here by
 constitute the Committee on Petitions as follows:—

- | | |
|------------------------------------|----------|
| 1) Shri Girindra Chandra Choudaury | Chairman |
| 2) Shri Biren Ram Phookan | Member. |
| 3) Shri Abdur Hussain Mir | Member. |
| 4) Shri Ram Chandra Sarmah | Member. |
| 5) Shri Lila Kanta Das | Member. |

Committee on Subordinate Legislation

Mr. Speaker: In exercise of the powers conferred
 by rule 251 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct
 of Business in Assam Legislative Assembly, I hereby
 constitute the Committee on Subordinate Legislation
 as follows:

- | | |
|---|----------|
| 1) Shri Golok Rajbanshi, Deputy Speaker | Chairman |
| 2) Shri Pitsing Konwar | Member. |
| 3) Shri Dulal Chandra Khaund | Member. |
| 4) Shri Mal Chandra Pegu | Member. |
| 5) Shri Abdul Hannan Choudhury | Member. |
| 6) Shri Suranjan Nandy | Member. |
| 7) Shri Taran Chandra Chutiya | Member. |

The Committee on Government Assurances

Mr. Speaker: In exercise of the powers conferred
 by the rule 257 of the Rules of Procedure and
 Conduct of Business in Assam Legislative Assembly,
 I hereby constitute the Committee on Government
 Assurances as follows:

- | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------|
| 1) Shri Lakshya Nath Doley | Chairman. |
| 2) Shri Promode Chandra Gogoi | Member. |

Committee on Petitions, Committee on Subordinate
 Legislation. Committee on Government Assurances
 Library Committee.

- | | |
|--------------------------------|---------|
| 3) Shri Nagendra Barua | Member. |
| 4) Shri Bijoy Krishna Handique | Member. |
| 5) Shrimati Pranita Talukdar | Member |
| 6) Shri Dhruba Barua | Member |
| 7) Shri Nurul Islam | Member |

Library Committee

Mr. Speaker: In exercise of the powers conferred by rule 313 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in Assam Legislative Assembly, I hereby constitute the Library Committee as follows:—

- | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------|
| 1) Smti Renuka Devi Barkatoki | Chairman. |
| 2) Shri Nurul Haque Choudhury | Member. |
| 3) Shri Ramesh Mohan Kouli | Member. |
| 4) Shri Bijoy Chandra Sarma | Member. |
| 5) Shri Debendra Nath Bora | Member. |
| 6) Shri Janaki Nath Handique | Member. |
| 7) Dr. Surendra Nath Das | Member. |
| 8) Smti Tarubala Bora | Member. |
| 9. Shri Dhaniram Rongpi | Member. |

Result of Election to the Board of Management
 Assam Agricultural University.

Mr. Speaker: I have to announce the results of election to the Board of Management of the Assam Agricultural University. The number of candidates being equal to the number of Members have been declared elected unanimously to the Board of Management of the Assam Agricultural University.

- 1) Shri Dulal Chandra Barua.
- 2) Shri Bijoy Krishna Handique
- 3) Shri Bijoy Chandra Sarma.

13 Re : DEATH FROM GASTRO-ENTERITIS 8 April
AND DYSENTERY IN KONWARTAL
MOUZA

Shri Promodé Chandra Gogoi - অধ্যক্ষ ডাঙৰীয়া, মই
আমাৰ নিয়মৱলীৰ ৩০১ ধাৰা মতে, এটা জ্ঞানী স্বাস্থ্য বিভাগৰ মট্ৰী
ডাঙৰীয়াক দিছিলো। সেইটো হল যে, যোৱাৰ অধিবেশন চলি থকা
সময়ত শিৱসাগৰ মহকুমাৰ এটা অঞ্চলত ব্যাধক ভাৱে তেজ প্ৰচণ্ডী
বিস্তাৰিত হৈছিল। সেই বেমাৰত আৰু হাতত থকা তালিকা মতে
প্ৰায় ১০ জন লোকৰ মৃত্যু হৈছে। সেই সকলৰ বয়স ৫ বছৰৰ পৰা
আবস্ত কৰি সৰ্ব্বোচ্চ ১২ বছৰলৈ আছে। এই ক্ষেত্ৰত স্বাস্থ্য বিভাগে
যি খিনি ব্যৱস্থা হৈছিল সেইখিনি ব্যৱস্থা যথেষ্ট নাছিল। বিশেষকৈ সেই
অঞ্চলত এই বেমাৰ প্ৰতিৰোধ কৰাৰ কাৰণ স্বাস্থ্য বিভাগৰ পক্ষৰ
পৰা যি ব্যৱস্থা লোৱাৰ প্ৰয়োজন আছিল, সেইখিনি যথেষ্ট হোৱা নাই।
ইয়াৰোপৰি সেই অঞ্চলটোতেই খোৱা পানীৰ বাবে এটা ভাল ব্যৱস্থা
হয় সেইটো কৰা নিতান্ত প্ৰয়োজন। এই বিষয়ে মই স্থানীয় কৰ্তৃপক্ষক
জনাইছিলো আৰু এতিয়াও মই চৰকাৰক জনাব খোজো যে, এই
বেমাৰৰ প্ৰতিৰোধৰ বাবে চৰকাৰে কি ব্যৱস্থা লৈছে আৰু সেই অঞ্চলত
খোৱা পানীৰ যোগান ধৰাৰো কি ব্যৱস্থা চৰকাৰৰ তৰফৰ
পৰা কৰিছে? যদি এতিয়ালৈকে কোনো ব্যৱস্থা কৰা হোৱা নাই
তেতিয়া হলে ততালিকে ইয়াৰ ব্যৱস্থা লৈহে জনাবনে?

* Shri Hiteswar Saikia (Minister of State Health):
Mr. Speaker, Sir, the case of mild gastro-enteritis and
and dysentery are found in most parts of Assam.
There are occasional out breaks of dysentery in severe
form confined to local areas. Sometimes the disease
is fairly spread. The outbreak of dysentery in a severe
form in the Konwarpur Mouza of Sibsagar Sub-division
was reported on 20th March, 1972 to the
Civil Surgeon, Jorhat. The first report of the disease
was received from Banmukh village of Sibsagar Sub-

1978 : DEATH FROM GASTRO-ENTERITIS 19
AND DYSENTERY IN KONWARTAL
MOUZA

division. Initial enquiries revealed that the villages of Banmukh, Panbesha, Kathpara, Komarphadia, Jengani-katia in Konwarpur were affected, Some reports were also received from Chakimukh and Mechagarh villages near Nazira. The total population of the area affected is approximately 30,000.

On receipt of the information, Civil Surgeon deputed the A. S. I., Public Health Duty and A. S. I., Epidemic Duty to the area of occurrences with requisite Health Assistants, Rural Health Inspectors and other Para-Medical staff. It was found that people were suffering from symptoms of bacillary dysentery and amoebic type of dysentery. In all 300 persons were affected by the disease. Most of the persons affected were Children and Young persons. About 40 persons were treated in the Civil Hospital at Sibsagar. The Sub-divisional Medical and Health Officer, Sibsagar, also visited the area and is regularly visiting the areas to bring the disease under control. In all, 10 deaths have been reported including one in the Sibsagar Civil Hospital and on way to Assam Medical College, Dibrugarh. As many as 40 persons have been treated in the Sibsagar Civil Hospital.

The stools of the effected persons were examined locally at Sibsagar Civil Hospital but no trace of Cholera was noticed. For better observations, samples of the stools were sent to the Dibrugarh Medical College and also Pasteur Institute of Shillong. The detailed culture and analysis at the Pasteur Institute has revealed that it was Cholera. The 10 cal medical

* Speece not corrected.

authorities have however taken precautionary measures including inoculation for Cholera in the local area so as to prevent any local outbreak. The disease appears to have been controlled by now. The source of water supply have been disinfected and adequate medicines were provided and leaflets for health education have been distributed. 10 Health Assistants have been appointed in the area to assist the medical authorities. I visited the villages near Nazira on 31st March, and 1st April, 1972 to supervise the arrangements made. The last death was reported on 4th April, 1972 and no further information of fresh death has been received. It appears therefore that the situation is under control.

Shri Promode Chandr Gogoi: Mr. Speake, Sir, we have heard the State Minister's statement. May I know from the Government whether any steps have been taken so far to supply pure drinking water to the affected areas?

Shri Sarat Chandra Sinha (Chief Minister): Sir, efforts are being made about that.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua: Is the Government aware that water is the main cause for such disease. If so, what positive steps have been taken by the Government to supply good drinking water to that area?

Shri Sarat Chandra Sinha: That is under Water Supply Scheme. The problem is so big that it is very difficult for the Government to cope with this situation as quickly as the hon. Members want. Ultimately I think, we will be able to solve the problem.

Re: DEATH FROM GASTRO-
ENTERITIS AND DYSENTERY IN
KONWARTAL MOUZA

Shri promode Chandra Gogoi: That is a long-drawn process. We want immediate action. I want to know whether the S. D. O. Sibsagar submitted any proposal to the Government for sanctioning at least 10 tube wells to the affected areas.

Shri Hiteswar Saikia (Minister of State Health): So far as we know, 8 tube wells are there.

Re: Regular Appointment of Master
Roll Workers

Shri Kabir Chandra Roy Pradhani - অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, মাঠাৰ বোলাৰ লেবাৰ বিলাক পৰিষ্কাৰ কৰাৰ সম্পৰ্কে আগৰ মন্ত্ৰী ডাঙৰীয়াই আশ্বাস দিছিল। তেতিয়া দেওবাৰে অনুপস্থিত থাকিও অৰ্থাৎ দেওবাৰে বন্ধ থাকিলেও ৭ দিনৰ মজুৰী পায় কিন্তু এদিন অনুপস্থিতি থাকিলে দুদিনৰ মজুৰী কাটে। এনে ক্ষেত্ৰত ভেঙলোকৰ মাজত অসন্তুষ্টিৰ সৃষ্টি হৈছে। চৰকাৰে এই সম্পৰ্কে বহু দিনৰ পৰা দি অহা আশ্বাসৰ সমাধান কেতিয়া কৈ আনিব?

Dr. Lutfur Rahman (Minister, PWD.) Sir, we have already proposed to the Finance Department for sanctioning grants regarding 1753 workers, who have put in more than 10 years service, and 2,746 who have put in more than 5 years. we are waiting to have a discussion with Finance, that discussion could not be held and we are going to hold it very early.

Shri Kabir Chandra Roy Pradhani: Sir, I beg to call the attention of the Minister, PWD (R&B) department under Rule-54 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in Assam Legislative Assembly to the news item appearing in the Assam Tribune dated

the 3rd March, 1972 under the caption, "Retrenchment of Muster Roll worker by P.W.D."

Shri Lutfur Rahman (Minister P.W.): Mr. Speaker, Sir, the news-item is dated 3rd April. I do not know whether the hon Minister is right in saying that it has appeared in Assam Tribune dated 3rd March. I think it should be 3rd April. Sir, there have been some retrenchments of these musterroll labourers. Some labourers are employed for work but when there is no work they are retrenched. Some are working for a long time. We have been endeavouring to keep them as regular workers but nothing could so far be done in this respect. We will have to discuss with Finance in the matter, and my predecessor gave some assurance and we are trying to implement his assurance as soon as possible.

PP 16—28

(With Secretary, L A)

Complaint of breach of privilege against Shri Mal Chandra Pegu, M L A for casting reflection on a Member.

For final Printing Originaly from Secy would have to the accehed:

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua: Mr. Speaker, Sir, under Rule 59 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in Assam Legislative Assembly, I would like to bring a breach of privilege motion against Shri Mal Chandra Pegu, M. L. A. for committing breach of privilege of the House, and the breach which he committed in course of his speech on the Governor's

Address on 7th April 1972, He made some defamatory and desogatory remarks against me in particular as a member of the Assam Legislative Assembly and against members of the Opposition in general in violation of Rule 279 (1) and (2) and also personally. The purpose of his remark tended to lower me in the public estimation and thereby obstructing me in discharging our duties as members of this august House. Rule 279 clearly states, 'a member while speaking shall not refer to any matter of fact on which a judicial decision is pending, and make a personal charge against a member.' In this connection, he falsely stated 'but in Jorhat from where Shri Dulal Chandra Barua hails, and so also in Charaibahi and Majuli Constituency all the Government officers worked against the Congress candidates and I can name the Executive Engineer, E & D, Shri Robin Hazarika who took a vital role in supporting the candidature of Shri Dulal Chandra Barua and on the day when the election results were announced Shri Dulal Chandra Barua was embraced by that officer and by all other officers.' I would like to mention that Rule 279 A states— 'No allegation of a defamatory or incriminatory nature shall be made by a member against any person unless the member has given previous intimation to the Speaker and also to the Minister concerned so that the Minister may be able to make an investigation into the matter for the purpose of a reply, provided that the Speaker may at any time prohibit any member from making any such allegation if he is of the opinion that such allegation is desogatory to the dignity of the House

* Speech not Corrected.

or that no public interest is served by making such allegation.' He has also violated this rule. The second part is most dangerous. We have a big list of dossiers of the Ministers and also members of the party in power. Sir I have got a list of allegations and about the conduct and behaviour of this hon. Member too. He has stated in the house, "Therefore, I say, that all the Officers and the contractors who were working under the Executive Engineer were helping the Opposition Members and you will be surprised to hear that they engaged 20/30 Jeeps daily to work in favour of the Opposition Members. From where did they get so much money? That was the position of Shri Dulal Chandra Barua when he was not an M. L. A. What is his condition now? Any member can go to his house and see the difference. The Opposition Members earn Rs. 200/300 daily. People ask me how much money do you earn daily? I say that I earn 20/30 rupees daily by practising in Court and they say that the Opposition Members earn Rs. 200/300 daily." The hon. Member has brought these false charges against me and other Opposition Members knowing them to be false done with a view to malign me and the Members of the opposition in the eyes of the public thereby distracting myself and the opposition Members from functioning effectively in discharge of our duties as Members of this Assembly. In this connection I would like to refer to Kaul's Parliamentary Practice, page 207 wherein it has been clearly stated "Reflections or members in the execution of their duties" is a breach of privilege. Again in May's Parliamentary Practice at page 148 it has been stated "Written imputations, as affecting a Member of Parliament, may amounts to

breach of privilege, without, perhaps, being libels at common law, but to constitute a breach of privilege a libel of our a Member must concern the character or conduct of the Members in that capacity." This gentleman has acted in such a way that it has cast reflection on the conduct of myself and my Opposition colleagues. Again, Sir, "Insultation against Members of corruption in the execution of their duties" also amounts to breach of privilege. At page 136 in the same book "Disobedience to the orders of either House, whether such orders are of general application or require a particular individual to do or abstain from doing a particular act, or corporation of any rules of either House is a contempt of that House.

Again at page 138 it has been stated that "The House may treat the making of a deliberately misleading statement as a contempt." Here in this case the hon. member deliberately has made a misleading statement to obstruct us in the due discharge of our duties effectively. Therefore, it is a contempt. Again, Sir, at page 149 it has been said "Bolton's Hours will punish not only contempts arising out of facts of which the ordinary courts will take cognizance, but those of which they cannot, such as contemptuous insults, gross calumny or foul epithets by word or mouth not within the category of actionable slander or threat of bodily injury." Sir, I repeat the words "Word of mouth." In this case the hon. member did not control his mouth or his tongue at the time of speaking. (Hon. Member Shri Pegu uttered some words) Mr. Speaker Sir, you have heard the hon. member. He is a senior member of the House, Sir. it is not a question of my

prestige, if is a question of the prestige of the House. My whole intention in bringing this motion is just to protect the rights and privileges of the hon. members of this House to function effectively under your able guidance. The hon. member is a senior one and has political maturity. If it would have been a new member the matter would have been different.

Sir, under Rule 158 of the Rules of Procedure you may take action even without a complaint. But here I have also lodged a complaint. You may now be pleased to refer the matter to the Privilege committee for their comments within a month from today.

Shri Santi Ranjan Das Gupta: Sir, on a point of order. Rule 160 says, "The right to raise a question of of privilege shall be governed by the following conditions—

(i) not more than one question shall be raised at the same sitting.

Yesterday when the Deputy Speaker was presiding and the matter was raised, Shri Barua was then not inside the House. He came in afterwards and raised this question before the House.

Under rule 304, "If the Speaker is of opinion that words have been used in debate which are defamatory or indecent or unparliamentary or undignified, he may, in his discretion, order that such words be expunged from the proceedings of the House."

The Deputy Speaker immediately ordered for expunging the whole matter from the proceedings of this

House. The hon. member not being satisfied with the ruling of the Deputy Speaker raised a point of order under Rule 300 that no order has been given by the Deputy Speaker on his point of order. Under Rule 106 only one question can be raised. The hon. member raised the question first yesterday and the Deputy Speaker gave his ruling. The hon. member ignored that ruling of the Deputy Speaker. The hon. member has brought the matter thrice, So this is a violation of the Rules.

Mr. Speaker : Did he yesterday raise the point of privilege ?

Shri Santi Ranjan Das Gupta : At first he raised the question of privilege when the whole words were ordered to be expunged. When the hon. Member insisted the Deputy Speaker requested him to take his seat. So when the matter was raised yesterday, it cannot be raised today. So in actual view of the House he has committed a breach of privilege by ignoring the Deputy Speaker's ruling.

Shri Gaurisankar Bhattacharyya : Sir, I do not blame the hon. Member because it appears that the hon. Member was talking extem fore. In this matter it is better to go by records. After all yesterday who said what these are or records and you may be pleased to see what is there in the records because what Shri Dulal Barua said just now, that is on record. I will just read the proceedings as these stand. When these remarks were first given, the first man to interveue was myself. I said, "Sir, he must not generalise." Then my friend Shri Premodhar Bora said, "He must

withdraw. He must not go standring the opposition members." Then the Chief Minister was appeared to say, that this part of the speech may be expunged." The Deputy Speaker who was occupying the Chair said, "It will be expunged." Then Shri Mal Chandra Pegu did not accept it. He said, "when you have got the right to generalise, I have also got the right to generalise." Then Shri Dulal Chandra Barua said, "Sir, it is a breach of privilege under Rule 158." The Deputy Speaker said, "I have already said that this part of the proceedings will be expunged." Shri Dulal Barua said, "were expuntion will not do." Then the Deputy Speaker said, Mr. Barua, please take your seat. "Shri Dulal Barua said, "It is a point of order." The Deputy Speaker said, "There is no question of any point order." Then Shri Dulal Barua said, "When there ia a point of order, if is the convention of the House to allow it. Deputy Speaker, "There is no question of point of order." Shri Dulal Chandra Barua, "There is a Deputy Speaker," I rule out the point of order."

Shri Syed Ahmed Ali: Sir, this statement of the proceeding is not admittable at this stage. These are yesterday's proceedings. Under Rule 29A it is stated— "The manerscript why of the speech made by the member concerned will be supplied to the member within five days of making the speech and the member concerned will correct the speech and return the same within fifteen days....." (Some Opposition Members were laughing) Sir, this is objectionable. When I am reading the rules, they are taunting. This is a breach of privilege. Now, let me continue the reading.

"If the speech is not corrected and returned within the aforesaid time, it may be printed, without correction and no objection regarding the correctness of the speech will be entertained." There is a right on the part of the Speaker to correct it, assuming that he made the statement yesterday. He may challenge the statement and so he must be given that proceedings for his correction. Unless the proceedings are duly corrected, no cognizance should be taken of the proceedings.

Mr. Speaker: Regarding Mr. Das Gupta's point of order, I find that no point of privilege was raised yesterday. If it was raised and notice given, I would have given an opportunity to raise it. Therefore, it is ruled out. Regarding the Parliamentary Affairs Minister's objection, I think the speech will be corrected. Now, Mr. Pegu,

Shri Mal Chandr Pegu: Rule 159 reads like this:

"A member wishing to make a complaint of a breach of privilege shall give notice in writing to the Secretary before the commencement of the sitting on the day on which it is proposed to be made. If the complaint is founded upon document the original thereof shall accompany the notice." Here in the notice the original document has not been given. This is not the original. He ought to have furnished a certified copy. Moreover, Sir, that this is not the original will be proved by Rule 303. It says, "The relevant copies of the proceedings of the Assembly shall be furnished to the Members concerned for the purpose of correction and reference." It has not been

corrected and so it cannot be admitted at this stage. It may be ruled out.

Mr. Speaker: Whether you devy the charge?

Shri Mal Chandra Pegu: Since it is not the corrected copy, I deny it.

Shri Gaurisankar Bhattacharyya: On what the hon. Member based his charge? Not any paper, not any book, not any document but a speech which one hon. Member of this House made by his mouth here in this House in the hearing of other Members. If that hon. Member how devy that, then it is a different thing. If he says, 'I did not make that speech' then it is a different thing.

Shri Mal Chandra Pegu: I devy the complaint.

Shri Gaurisankar Bhattacharyy: After all the hon. Member made a speech and it has been reproduced as to what he said. He can now admit it or devy it.

Shri Mal Chandra Pegu: I will do so on receipt of the original document.

Shri Gaurisankar Bhattacharyy: The original is his mouth. It is he who can say that he said this or he can devy this. He did not voniet the document. He said certain things which the stenographers recorded and has been recorded in that machine. Either he can devy it or he can admit it and after that it is for the Houre to consider the whole tning.

Mr Speaker: Under rule 167 I want to know whether Mr. Pegu has received the notice.

Shri Mal Chandra Pegu: Yes, I have received it.

Shri Syed Ahmed Ali (Minister, Parliamentary Affairs): Sir, I cannot agree with Mr. Bhattacharjee.

regarding the document. When the hon. Member has already made such a statement and it has gone down in records, that constitutes to be the document. And here under rule, I have already referred to, at that time that document may be the original proceedings. That is why if I get a copy of that original document along with the notice that amounts to be a certified copy of the document. Here along with the notice no such document of the statement was attached. It was not enclosed with the notice; neither the original nor the certified copy of the statement was made.

Shri Gaurisankar Bhattacharyya : I am sorry Sir, I could not make myself understandable to the Minister-in-charge of Parliamentary Affairs. What I said is this under this allegation the hon. Member here did not draw up any document. The hon. Member here did not draw up any document. So, there is no question of any original document at all. What he drew up is the speech which he made by his mouth that is the original document. Sir, the recording has been done by two ways, tape-recording shorthand writing. Whether this was said by the hon. Member or not that can be verified from the tape-recording or from the reported one. But the original is in himself. That hon. Member has drawn up any document and it might be that he did not draw up any document and is original document who can certify that. The only man who can certify is himself. What type of correction the hon. Member can for which he is asking again and again. What of correction the rules allow to make only the grammatical corrections. If anybody

violates this it will be another breach of privilege. If I make a speech and then correct and write something else that will be another breach of privilege. What type of correction are allowed? In the rule it is laid down that only the grammatical corrections are allowed, and no material correction is allowed. Therefore, the question is very very simple either the substance what has been alleged is agreed or the substance what has been alleged is denied. Even if it is denied, it will be a breach of privilege. It is entirely for the hon. Member to say whether he said it or he did not say, what Mr. Barua has raised.

Mr. Speaker: As I heard the the proceedings were expunged by the Deputy Speaker. I want to hear from Mr. Bhattacharjee and Mr. Barua whether after the expunction it exist or not.

Shri Gaurisankar Bhattacharaya: If it would not have come to us at all, then the would have been all out of course. I would not have referred to it at all. How did it come to us for correction? It has come so that we can see whether my speech was correctly reported or not. So, when it came we thought that probably that portion has not been expunged. The Deputy Speaker did not say that these are the lines expunged. Something he had expunged but that we do not know. At least this portion which Mr. Barua referred is not.

Shri Syed Ahmed Ali: Your honour has rightly observed that the discussion which is going on the subject is one thing, that is, we are fighting by raising point of order over point of order and then another point of order but the main basis is nil. You have

rightly observed, Sir, that the specific words on which this privilege motion has been raised has been expunged. Sir, after the expunction we can raise a privilege motion on that. Your honour has rightly observed it, Sir, Moreover, Sir, I like to submit that what is the rule. Rule 279 clause (2) deals with the matter in respect of personal charges against a member. The statement which was read by hon. Member Mr. Barua I don't find anything, any personal charge has been brought against him. If your honour go through the whole statement you will see he did not mention the name of hon. Member Shri Barua. But in some context, he said that many officers including the Superintending Engineer some Hazarika they worked against the Congress Members and particularly against him. But he did not say that Shri Barua worked against him. In his constituency there officers worked against him and in favour of him. The allegation must be definite and specific. Here, Sir, if you go through the statement.

Shri Gaurisankar Bhattacharyya : Sir, on a point of order. Nobody can discuss anything if it has already been expunged. Be that Minister or he that anybody Expunged is expunged, it does not exist. Therefore, the first thing you have to decide is whether the two portions which have been quoted by Shri Dulal Chandra Barua exist or do not exist. If those do not exist, that is to say, it has already been expunged by the hon. Deputy Speaker then all of us are outside the picture. We are arguing for for nothing. First of all it is to be decided whether these two portions exist or do not exist. That is the point. But if the

Minister argues in this way that I touched only your cheek and did not seap you then it is a different thing. So, one may argue it in this way or one may argue it in a differnt way and I am not going to say anything on the merit of the case. I only want to know from you whether these two portions exist or do not exist?

Mr. Speaker: If these two portions do not exist then there is no case.

Shri Syed Ahmed Ali: Sir, on this point I was speaking.

Shri Speaker: Whether after expunction a case stands or not.

Mr. Syed Ahmed Ali: Your honour will see whether these words exist or are expunged. So, under Rule 29 (a) which I have already quoted, unless the Deputy Speaker corrects the speech, it will not be known whether that portion exists or is deteled. Therefore, it must be corrected by the Deputy Speaker and seen whethether according to his order that portion has been strick off or not and after that only the question will arise.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua: Sir, this is not the point. The point is that the hon. Deputy Speaker ordered expunction of certain portion of the proceeding but we do not know about which portion be ordered. Now the question is that the proceedings have been sent to us for correction and finding the statements as appeared in the proceedings I have given notice. I do not know which portion is desired to be expunged Now the question is that there must be specifid

mention by your or the Deputy Speaker as to which portions are to be expunged. But when the proceedings were sent to us for correction and when we found that these portions are also appearing in the proceeding then I have brought my case against Shri M. C. Pegu. It is on the basis of the proceedings. And these documents are to be taken as authentic. As our leader has rightly pointed out you can make only the grammatical corrections and not alterations of the which speech. Apart from that, Sir, if you hear the we tape recorder, there also you will be convinced that the hon. Deputy Speaker did not specifically mention as to which portion be ordered to be deleted. If one portion is deleted then the other portion will remain and that also amounts to be a breach of privilege. Therefore, Sir, as I have already pointed out, there is no specific order by the Deputy Speaker as to which portion is to be deleted and that has been proved beyond doubt by the proceedings that have been supplied to us this morning for correction. And so far as expunction is concerned, I thought the expunction order meant for some other portion and not this portion.

Shri Santi Ranjan Das Gupta: Sir, the question arises as to which portion of the proceeding have been expunged. Then let us see Rule 304. What it says? It says, "if the Speaker is of opinion that words have been used in debate which are defamatory or indecent or unparliamentary or undignified, he may, in his discretion, order that such words be expunged from the proceedings of the House," so if these is anything which are defamatory or indecent or unparliamentary or undignified, then these portions only have to be expunged.

Mr. Speaker: I have heard all sides.

Shri Sarat Chandra Sinha (Chief Minister): The distorted portion stands expunged.

Shri Syed Ahmed Ali: That may be left to the hon. Deputy Speaker.

Shri Dulal Chandr Barua: Sir, there is nobody to undermine you. It tantamounts to breach of privilege.

Debate on the Governor's Address

Shri Sarat Chandra Sinha :- (Chief Minister) : মাননীয় অধ্যক্ষ মহে দয়, বাজ্যপালৰ ভাষণ প্ৰসঙ্গত মাননীয় সদস্য সকলে দিয়া ভাষণৰ কাৰণে মই তেখেত সকলক ধন্যবাদ জনাইছো। আমাৰ বাজ্যখনৰ বিভিন্ন সমস্যা সৰ্মকে তেখেত সকলে যি ধৰণেৰে আলোক পাত কৰিছে, তাৰ কাৰণে তেখেত সকলৰ ওচৰত মই বাধিত। যি বিলাক বিষয়ে অবতৰনা কৰি আলোচনা তেখেত সকলে কৰিছে সেই সকলো বিলাক কথাৰ সম্বন্ধিত উত্তৰ দিয়া মোৰ পক্ষে সম্ভবপৰ নহব। তথাপি মই চমুকৈ কেইটামান বিষয়ত উত্তৰ দিম। মই মাননীয় সদস্য সকলক অন্তৰোধ কৰিছো, যি বিলাক বিষয়ত উত্তৰ দিব পৰা নহব, সেই বিলাক বিষয় সম্বন্ধেও চৰকাৰে নিশ্চয় বিবেচনা কৰিব।

বাজ্য পালৰ ভাষণ সম্পৰ্কে শ্ৰী লীলা কান্ত বৰা ডাঙৰীয়াই এই প্ৰস্তাব উত্থাপন কৰাৰ কাৰণে মই তেখেতক ধন্যবাদ দিছো।

অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, আমাৰ এই বজ্যখনৰ চাৰিওফালে পৰবাস্থিৰে পৰিবেষ্টিত। তাৰ ফলত বাজ্যখনত নানা বকমে বিবাদ কামী শক্তি সমূহে ক্ৰীয়া কৰি আছে। ইয়াত কেৱল ৰাজ্যৰ ভিতৰবেই নহয়, বাহিৰৰ কিছুমান শক্তিয়েও ইয়াৰ ভিতৰলৈ আহি একা আৰু সংহতি নষ্ট কৰাৰ কাৰণে চেষ্টা কৰি আছে। এই কথা আমি সকলোৱেই জানো।

আমাৰ এই বাজ্যখনত প্ৰতিবেগী আমাৰ যি সকল ভাই আছে যেনে নগা বাজ্য, নতুনকৈ হোৱা মেঘালয় বাজ্য তাৰ পাছত অকনাচল,

তীব্র পাত্ৰত মিজোৰাম এনেকুৱা আমাৰ যিবিলাক প্ৰতিবেশী ৰাজ্য আছে সেই সকলৰ লগত আমাৰ নামা বিষয়ে কি হু বিবাদৰ সৃষ্টি হৈছে। এই বিবাদৰ পৰি প্ৰেক্ষিতত আমি যদি পৰ্যালোচনা কৰো, তেনেহলে দেখিবলৈ পাত্ৰ যে, বিশ্বেদকামী শক্তি সমূহে এই বিলাক ঠাইত কাম কৰি আছে। সেই কথা কোনেও নুই কৰিব নোৱাৰে। কিন্তু মই আশাকৰো আমাৰ সদনৰ ভিতৰত মাননীয় সদস্য সকল আৰু সদনৰ বাহিৰত থকা অসমৰ বাইজ আৰু আমাৰ প্ৰতিবেশী ৰাজ্যত থকা বাইজ সকলোৱে যদি এটা বুজাপৰৰ ভিতৰত সকলো বিলাক সমস্যা আলোচনা কৰা হয়, তেনেহলে আমি নিশ্চয় এটা সিদ্ধান্তত উপনীত হব পাৰিম। যিটো সিদ্ধান্তৰ দ্বাৰা সকলোৰে কল্যাণ কৰা হব।

আমাৰ এই ৰাজ্যত যি বিলাক শক্তিয়ে কাম কৰি আছে সেই কথাটো উল্লেখ কৰিবলৈ গৈ এগৰাকী মাননীয় সদস্যই M. R. A. অনুস্থানৰ কথা কৈছে। এই অনুস্থান আমাৰ ইয়াত আহোতে আমাৰ প্ৰচাৰ বিভাগৰ বিষয়াই এখন Press মেলৰ আয়োজন কৰাৰ কথা উল্লেখ কৰিছে। সেই প্ৰচাৰ বিভাগৰ Press মেলৰ আয়োজন কৰাৰ কথা হয়। আয়োজন কৰাৰ লগে লগে যেতিয়া বিষয়া জনে ভুল বুজি পালে তেতিয়াই সেই মেল নাক, কৰিলে। প্ৰচাৰ বিভাগৰ বিষয়াই এইটো আয়োজন কৰা কথা অতি দুৰ্ভাগ্য জনক। এই বিষয়ে সেই বিষয়া জনক সৰ্ত্তকি কৰণ কৰা হব। এই ধৰনৰে আমাৰ ইয়াত যি বিলাক কাম চলি আছে, সেই বিলাক সম্পৰ্কে আমি সম্পূৰ্ণ সজাগ আছো। মই মাননীয় সদস্য সকলক এইটো আশ্বাস দিব পাৰো যে, এটা গুৰুত্বপূৰ্ণ অৱস্থাটো যদি এই ৰাজ্য খনলৈ বিশ্বেদকামী শক্তিসমূহ আহে আৰু আমাৰ ইয়াত একা সংহতি বিনষ্ট কৰিবলৈ চেষ্টা কৰে তেতিয়া তাৰ প্ৰতিকাৰ আৰু প্ৰতিবোধ কৰিবৰ বাবে যথা সাধ্য চেষ্টা কৰা হব। আৰু এইদৰে কৰা হৈ আছে।

আমাৰ ৰাজ্যখনৰ যিবিলাক সমস্যা সম্পৰ্কে মাননীয় সদস্যসকলে উল্লেখ কৰিছে আৰু তেখেত সকলে চৰকাৰৰ কাম যে কিমান জটিল নিশ্চয় বুজিব পাৰিছে। এইখন ৰাজ্য সমস্যাবে ভৰা। এই ৰাজ্যখনৰ প্ৰধান সমস্যা হৈছে দুখীয়া মানুহৰ সমস্যা। খেতিয়ক সকল আমাৰ

সমাজৰ মজ্জহৰ সকল এই সকলোৱেই দুখীয়া মানুহ। এই খেতিয়ক সকল
গৱত থাকে আৰু এই খেতিয়ক সকল দুখীয়া হোৱাৰ কাৰণেই আমাৰ
ৰাজ্যখনো দুখীয়া হৈছে। গতিকে আমি এই দৰিদ্ৰতা ছৰ কৰিবলৈ
সকলো প্ৰকাৰৰ চেষ্টা কৰিব লাগিব গাঁৱত থকা দুখীয়া খেতিয়ক
সকলৰ সকলো প্ৰকাৰৰ উন্নতি সাধন কৰিব লাগিব। প্ৰথমেই আৰ্থিক
উন্নতি সাধন কৰি খেতিয়ক সকলক বন্ধা কৰিব লাগিব আৰু তেওঁলোকক
উৎপাদন বঢ়াত সহায় কৰিব লাগিব। খেতিৰ উন্নতি কৰিবলৈ হলে
আমাৰ ৰাজ্যৰ খেতিকৰা প্ৰণালীৰ পৰিৱৰ্তন সাধন কৰিব লাগিব। আমি
এতিয়া পূৰ্বনি কলীয়া পদ্ধতিত খেতি কৰি আছো। আনহাতে আমাৰ
দৈন্যান্দিম জীৱন ধাৰনৰ মনো বেলেগ হৈ গৈছে। ফলত খেতিয়কৰ
অৱস্থা শোক লগা হৈছে। গতিকে এতিয়া আমি পূৰ্বনি পদ্ধতিৰ পৰিবৰ্তন
কৰি নতুন পদ্ধতি প্ৰয়োগ কৰিব লাগে। গতিকে খেতিয়কক পানী
যোগান ব্যৱস্থা কৰি দিব লাগিব। বানপানী সমস্যা আমাৰ দেশত অতি
ডাঙৰ সমস্যা হৈ পৰিছে। আহাৰ মাহত প্ৰচুৰ পানী পোৱা যায় আন-
হাতে আহিনৰ পৰা পানীৰ অভাৱ হয়। ৩ মাহ অতিৰিক্ত পানী আৰু
৯ মাহ একেবাৰে পানী নাই। গতিকে উক্ত ৯ মাহত যাতে পানীৰ
যোগান ধৰিব পাৰে তাৰ ব্যৱস্থা কৰিব লাগে। গতিকে জলসিঞ্চন আৰু
পানীৰ যোগান ব্যৱস্থাক আমি অগ্ৰাধিকাৰ দিব লাগিব। খেতিয়কৰ
মাটি পৰীক্ষা কৰি তাৰ কি পৰিমাণৰ সাৰ দিব লাগে সেইটো নিৰ্ণয়
কৰিব লাগে। আৰু উপযুক্ত সাৰ দিব লাগে। এই খিনি কাম কৰিব
পাৰিলে অৰ্থনৈতিক জৰুৰী আমি এটা পৰিবৰ্তন আনিব পাৰিম।
এই বিলাক কোৱা যিমান সহজ কৰা ইমান সহজ নহয়। ইয়াৰ কাৰণে
আমাৰ সকলো ৰাইজৰ সহযোগিতা লাগিব। গতিকে আমি এনে
আঁচনি গ্ৰহণ কৰিব লাগিব যাতে ইয়াৰ দ্বাৰা দেশৰ উন্নতি সাধন হ'ব
পাৰে। ইয়াৰোপৰি ভূমিসংস্কাৰ ক্ষেত্ৰত আমাৰ ইয়াত যিবিলাক আইন
প্ৰণয়ন কৰিছে সেইবিলাক কাৰ্য্যকাৰী হোৱা নাই। এই ক্ষেত্ৰত সদায়ে
অভিযোৰ আছ। অধিকাৰ আইনৰ কথা সদস্য সকলে কৈছে। আধিয়াৰ
আইন কৰিছে কিন্তু প্ৰয়োগ হোৱা নাই।

প্রশাসনীয় দক্ষতাৰ ক্ষেত্ৰত নানা অভিযোগ আছে। প্রশাসনীয় ক্ষেত্ৰত দক্ষতাৰে কাম কৰিব পৰা নাই। যিবিলাক কাম দ্ৰুগতিত কৰিব নোৱাৰে সেই বিলাক প্রশাসনৰ ক্ষেত্ৰত আছে। সেই বিলাক আমি আচৰে দূৰ কৰিব লাগে।

কিন্তু সেই কথা বিলাক কোৱা যিমান সহজ কৰা যিমান সহজ নহয়। প্রশাসনীয় দক্ষতাৰ কথা কওঁতে আমি প্রশাসনক শাসন যন্ত্ৰ কুলি কওঁ

কিন্তু অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, যন্ত্ৰ এটা সংশোধন কৰা সহজ। যন্ত্ৰ এটা সংশোধন কৰিবলৈ হলে কোনো এটা অংশ বদলাই দিলে বা মেৰামতি কৰি দিলেই তাৰ সম্পূৰ্ণ দক্ষতা আহি পৰে কিন্তু এই প্রশাসন যন্ত্ৰটো হৈছে মানুহৰ যন্ত্ৰ। ইয়াত মানুহ আছে, মানুহৰ মন আছে আৰু মানুহৰ বুজি আছে, আৰু আছে মানুহৰ কৌশল। সেই কাৰণে ই এটা মনস্তাত্ত্বিক বিষয় হৈ পৰিছে। প্রশাসন যন্ত্ৰটো আদৰ্শনিক কৰিবৰ কাৰণে যিমান শক্তি আৰু সামৰ্থ্যৰ প্ৰয়োজন সেই শক্তি, সামৰ্থ্য আমাৰ আছেনে নাই মোৰ নিজৰে সন্দেহ। কিন্তু মোৰ এইটো বিশ্বাস আছে যদি সদমৰ সদম্য সকলে আমাৰ অসম দেশৰ বাহিৰক সংজ্ঞা বদ্ধ ভাবে ঐক্যবদ্ধ কৰিবলৈ বিচাৰে তেনহলে যন্ত্ৰটো দক্ষতা পূৰ্ণ কৰি তুলিব লাগি। শাসন যন্ত্ৰটো আদৰ্শনিক কৰিব লাগিব। বিধান সভাৰ অধিবেশন যেতিয়া আৰম্ভ হয়, এই সময় ছোৱাত হেজাৰ হেজাৰ (হিচাব কৰিলে কিজানি লাখৰে অধিক হব) মানুহ ভৈয়ামৰ পৰা শ্বিলঙলৈ আহিবলৈ আৰম্ভ কৰে, হোটেলকে আদি কৰি বিভিন্ন ঠাইত থাকিব লগা হয়। যি সকল লোক ইয়ালৈ আহে তেওঁ লোকৰ কাম সম্পাদন নহয়। দৰ্শাস্ত্ৰ এখন দিবলৈকে তেওঁ লোক আহিব লগীয়া হয়। এই ক্ষেত্ৰত শৰবীক কষ্টৰ উপৰিও ধনৰ অযথা খৰচ হয়। এনেদৰেই আমি বাইজৰ ধনৰ অযথা খৰচ কৰি আছো। এট অযথা খৰচ আমি গুচাব পাবো যদিহে আমি প্রশাসন যন্ত্ৰটো বিকেন্দ্ৰ ভূত কৰি তুলিব পাবো। যেতিয়া লৈকে বাইজ ভৈয়ামৰ পৰা শ্বিলঙলৈ আহিব নালাগিব অৰ্থাৎ ৰাজধানী লৈ আহিব নালাগিব, যেতিয়ালৈকেলৈকে জনসাধাৰনে মন্ত্ৰী সকলৰ পিছে পিচে

ঘূৰি ফুৰিব নালাগিব তেতিয়া বাইজৰ ধ-ৰ অথবা খৰচৰ বাট বন্ধ
নহব।

সেই কাৰনে অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, সদস্য সকলে যি অভিযোগ উত্থাপন
কৰিছে সেই অভিযোগ মই মানি লৈছো আৰু সেই অভিযোগ
আমি নিশ্চয় দূৰ কৰিব লাগিব সৰু সূৰা কিছুমান অভিযোগ
উত্থাপন কৰিছে সেই বিলাক আপোনালোকে অভিযোগ মিম্মাংসা
কৰাবো আমাৰ প্ৰয়োজন হৈছে।

সাধাৰন স্কুলীয়া বা কলেজীয়া লৰাক বৃত্তি দিয়া যি পদ্ধতি সেই
পদ্ধতিৰে আমি স্কুলীয়া বা কলেজীয়া জীৱনৰ সময় ছোৱাত দিব পৰা
নাই। সেই বৃত্তি হয়গে কোনে বা একো জন ছাত্ৰৰ স্কুল কলেজীয়া
জীৱনৰ শেষত হে গৈ পাইছে।

যি সকল অবসৰ প্ৰাপ্ত অফিচাৰ বা বিষয়া অবসৰ প্ৰাপ্ত
হয় সেই সকলক অৱসৰৰ লগে লগে আমিতৎগুৰ্ত্ত পেঞ্চন দিব
পৰা নাই। পেঞ্চন পাবলৈ হলে ২/৩ বছৰ বা কেতিয়া বা
১০/১২ বছৰ অপেক্ষা কৰিব লাগে। দুখীয়া চৰকাৰী বিষয়া
একোজনে যদি ৩০ বছৰ চাকৰী কৰাৰ পিচত অবসৰ লগে
লগে পেঞ্চন নাপাই তেন্তে ই বৰ দুৰ্ভাগ্য জনক কথা। প্ৰশাসনীয় বন্ধৰ
শিঠিলতা আৰু ক্ষীণততা হীনতাৰ বাবেই যে এনে হৈছে সেই কথা
আমি মানি লব লাগিব আৰু এই শিঠিলতাৰ সংশোধনো নিশ্চয় হব
লাগিব।

দুৰ্নীতিৰ কথা বহুকেইজন সদস্যই উল্লেখ কৰিছে। আমাৰ এই
সমাজখনত দুৰ্নীতি আৰু শিপাইছে, এই কথা কোৱাৰ প্ৰয়োজন আৰু
নহব। অৱশ্যে আমাৰ সমাজত দুৰ্নীতি আঙিৰেই নহয় যেতিয়াই
কোনোবা এটা বৈপ্লৱিক কাম কৰিবলৈ লোৱা হয় তেতিয়াই দুৰ্নীতি
আহি পৰে। আমাৰ পুৰাণতো দুৰ্নীতি ৰ উদাহৰণ আছে। বিশ্বমিত্ৰ
বজাই যেতিয়া নতুন স্বৰ্গ ৰাজ্য এখন কৰিবলৈ বিচাৰি তপস্যা আৰম্ভ
কৰিলে তেতিয়া দেৱৰাজ ইন্দ্ৰই ভয় খাই ভাবিলে যে যদি বিশ্বমিত্ৰই

স্বর্গী বাজ্য সৃষ্টি কৰে তেন্তে তেওঁৰ ইচ্ছাই লোপ হ'ব। সেয়ে তেওঁৰ তপস্যা ভঙ্গ কৰিবৰ কাৰণে মেনকা অপসৰ্বাক নিয়োজিত কৰিলে আৰু শেষত তপস্যা ভঙ্গ হল। আমাৰ এইখন সমাজত পুৰণি সেই কথাটো আজিও চলি আছে। মেনকাৰ প্ৰভাৱ আজিও আমাৰ সমাজত আছে। মেনকাই হৈছে fronee of corruption আৰু সেই মেনকাক কেনেকৈ দূৰ কৰিব পৰা যায় সেই কথা যিমানই আমি মুখেৰে নকওঁ কথাটো সিমান সহজ নহয়। বিশ্বমিত্ৰ কিমান শক্তিশালী তপস্যা আছিল, তেওঁৰ ক্ষেত্ৰতো Corruption সম্ভৱ হৈছিল। অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, মই অতি দুৰ্বল মানুহ। আমি প্ৰতি সদনৰ সদস্যই এই কথাটো উপলব্ধি কৰিব লাগিব যে ই এটা অতি তাৎপৰ্য্য পূৰ্ণ বিষয়। দূৰ্নীতিৰ বিৰুদ্ধে সংগ্ৰামত কৰা সহজ, কিন্তু এই সংগ্ৰাম জয় লাভ কৰা কঠিন। কালি চিমেণ্ট প্ৰসঙ্গত এই কথাটো উত্থাপন কৰা হৈছিল। চিমেণ্ট ব্যৱসায়ী সকলৰ লগত আমাৰ কোনো সম্পৰ্ক নাই, দূৰ্নীতিকাৰী সকলক আমি শাস্তি বিধান কৰিব খোজো। কিন্তু অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, আইনৰ কথাত এই ক্ষেত্ৰত আছে। এনে অৱস্থাত দূৰ্নীতিৰ ক্ষেত্ৰত প্ৰমাণ পত্ৰৰ প্ৰয়োজন হয়। মোৰ বোধেৰে আমি যি বিলাক আইন ৰচনা কৰিছো সেই আইন বিলাকত এই কথাটো বিশেষ ভাবে বিবেচনা কৰাৰ প্ৰয়োজন। শাস্তি বিধান কৰিবলৈ যাওঁতে কোনো এজন নিৰ্দোষীৰ লগত যাতে দহ জন দোষী লোক মাৰি নাযায় আৰু দহজন দোষীৰ লগত যাতে এজন নিৰ্দোষীয়েও শাস্তি পাই এনে আইন হোৱা উচিত। মই এই কথা সমাজে কি ধৰণে গ্ৰহণ কৰিব? সমাজৰ এটা সুস্থগতি আৰু এটা সুস্থবিধি ৰচনা কৰিবলৈকে আমি বিধান সভা, ৰাজ্য সভা আৰু লোকসভাৰ সদস্য হৈ আহিছো। এই ক্ষেত্ৰত আমাৰ সকলোৰে সদাইচ্ছা আছে আৰু এই সদাইচ্ছাবে আমি কাম কৰি গলে সফলতা লাভ কৰিম।

আইনৰ চকুৰে যদি আমি চাওঁ তেন্তে নিশ্চয় কোনো ভেজাল বস্তু বজাৰত থাকিব নালাগে কিয়নো pure food Act আছে এই আইন থকা স্বত্বেও আমি বজাৰত সদাই ভেজাল বস্তুকে দেখি আছো।

বজাৰিত যাতে ভেজাল বস্তু দিব নোৱাৰে, তাৰ কাৰণে অ মাৰ Pure food Act আছে। আমাৰ যি আইন আছে এই আইনৰ দ্বাৰা ভেজাল ব্যৱসায়ী সকলক ধৰিব পৰা নাই। টিঞ্চিল ঘিলাই ঘূৰি ফুৰা ভেজাল ব্যৱসায়ী সকলক যাতে আমি ধৰিব পাৰো তাৰ বাবে কঠোৰ ব্যৱস্থা হাতত লব লাগিব আৰু তেনেকুৱা এখন আইনৰ কথা আমি বিবেচনা কৰি আছো। এইটো অকল আমি়েই বোধ কৰিব নোৱাৰো তাৰ বাবে সমগ্ৰ সমাজৰ চেতনাৰ প্ৰয়োজন। মোৰ নিজৰ ধাৰণা যে অকল আইন প্ৰণয়ন কৰি তুৰীত দমন কৰাটো সম্ভৱ নহ'ব। মোৰ নিষ্ঠৰ ভাৱ হৈছে তুৰীতি কাৰী লোক সকলক আমি ঘৃণা কৰিব লাগিব। তুৰীতি কাৰী লোক সকলৰ হাতত কৰ পৰা ধন আহিছে সেইকথা আমি বিবেচনা নকৰো। সেই লোক জনৰ হাতত ধন আহিলেই সমাজত গন্যমান্য ব্যক্তি হয়। তুৰীতিকাৰী লোক সকলৰ সমাজৰ দৃষ্টি কোনৰ পৰা ঘৃণা কৰিব লাগে। সেই সকলৰ লৰাছোৱালীৰ বিয়া বাকলৈ আমি যোৱাৰ কাৰণে আজি তেওঁলোকে তুৰীতি কৰিও টিঞ্চিল ঘিলাই আছে। গতিকে মই সকলো মাননীয় সদস্যকে অনুৰোধ কৰিছো যাতে তুৰীতিকাৰী লোক সকলৰ প্ৰতি এটা ঘৃণাৰ ভাৱ সৃষ্টি কৰিব পাৰো।

অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয় কেইবা গৰাকী সদস্যই ইয়াত উল্লেখ কৰিছে যে বহিবাগত মানুহৰ স্মৃত দিনে বাতি বৈ আছে। কৰ্ম সৱস্থান দিয়াৰ ক্ষেত্ৰত যি অভিযোগ উত্থাপন কৰিছে সেইটো মই মানিলৈছো। এই সম্বন্ধে মই কওঁ যে মোৰ ওচৰলৈ কেইজনমান ইঞ্জিনিয়াৰে চাকৰি বিচাৰ আহিছিল। এইটো অৱশ্যে ঠিক যে তেওঁলোকে চকৰি নাপালে মনত দুখ পাব। মই তেওঁলোকক বুজাই কৈছো এজন ইঞ্জিনিয়াৰ গঢ়বৰ কাৰণে ৩০ হাজাৰ টকা খৰছ কৰিব লগা হয়। গতিকে এই ইঞ্জিনিয়াৰ জনে যদি চাকৰি নাপাই বহি থাকিব লগা হয় তেনেহলে চৰকাৰী ধনৰ অপব্যৱহাৰ কৰাহে হ'ব। কাৰণ দুখীয়া বাইজৰ টকা আনি আমি এওঁলোকৰ বাবে খৰছ কৰিছো। ঠিক সেইদৰে ডাক্তৰ সকলৰ ক্ষেত্ৰটো

শুধু কই অৱস্থা হৈছে। এই সমস্যাটো যিমান সহজে সমাধান হব বুলি ভাবিছো, আচলতে সিমান সহজ নহয়।

নিবনুৱা যুৱক সকলৰ বয়সৰ সীমা ২৫ বছৰৰ পৰা ৩০ বছৰ কৰাৰ কথাটো চিন্তা কৰা হৈছে। নিবনুৱা যুৱক সকলে দৰ্খাস্ত আদিৰ লগত যি মালু দি়ে সেই যাতে বেগাই দিব পাৰি তাক বিবেচনা কৰা হৈছে। কাৰণ নিবনুৱা যুৱক সকলৰ পৰা প্ৰতি দৰ্খাস্তত এই দৰে কিজলৈ জৰিমন হৈ লোৱা হৈছে। এজন লৰাই চাকৰি নোপোৱা পৰ্যন্ত বহুবাৰ দৰ্খাস্ত কৰি থাকিব লাগে।

মাননীয় সদস্য সকলে কৈছে যে ভৈয়ামৰ লোক সকল কাম কাজৰ বাবে চিলঙলৈ আহিব লাগে আৰু মানুহ এতিয়া আহি ঠাই খাই পৰিছেহি। এইটো অৱশ্যে ঠিক যে ক্ষমতা কেন্দ্ৰীভূত হৈ থকাৰ কাৰণে কামকাজৰ বাবে লোক সকল চিলঙলৈ আহিব লাগে। ক্ষমতা যাতে বিকেন্দ্ৰীকৰণ কৰি এই কাম বিলাক জিলা পৰ্যায়ত কৰিব পাৰি, সেই বিষয়ে বিবেচনা কৰা হৈছে। মই ভাৱো তেতিয়াহলে মানুহ বিলাক মন্ত্ৰীৰ ওচৰলৈ অহাৰ প্ৰয়োজন নহব। তেতিয়াহলে আমাৰ ধনৰ অপচয় বন্ধ কৰিব পাৰিম।

তাৰ পিচত দক্ষতাহীনতা, বিলম্ব আদি বিষয়ে নানা অভিযোগ উত্থাপন কৰিছে। মই ভৱে ক্ষমতা বিকেন্দ্ৰীকৰণ কৰিলে দ্ৰুতগতিত কাম বিলাক হব। ৰাজধানী স্থানান্তৰ সম্পৰ্কে বহুতো আলোচনা হৈছে। ৰাজধানী যিমান সোনকালে পৰা যায় স্থানান্তৰ কৰা হব।

আপোনা সকলে যিটো স্থান নিৰ্ণয় কৰা কথা কৈছে সেই স্থান নিৰ্ণয়ৰ চূড়ান্ত সীদ্ধান্ত এতিয়াও কোৱা হোৱা নাই। আমাৰ কথা হল ৰাজধানী আতি সোনকালে ইয়াৰ পৰা স্থানান্তৰিত কৰিব লাগিব।

মাননীয় সদস্য সকলে নিৰ্বাচনৰ কথা উল্লেখ কৰি চৰকাৰী অফিচৰ সকলক নিৰ্বাচনৰ কামত লগাইছে। বুলি নানাধৰণৰ অভিযোগ আনিছে। অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, মই বিনম্ৰ ভাৱে নিবেদন কৰিছো আমি কেনে চৰকাৰী বিষয়ক নিৰ্বাচনৰ কামত লগোৱা নাই। নিৰ্বাচনৰ কিছু দিন অগতে আমি সকলো ৰাজনৈতিক দলৰ লোকৰ সমাৱেশত সদনত সভা

পাতিছিলো, সেই সভাত আমি ঘোষণা কৰিছিলো। যাতে কোনো লোকৰেই Licence Permit চাকৰি Transfer ইত্যাদি বিষয়ৰ order সমূহৰ ঘোষণা কৰা নহয়। নহলে বাইজে ভাবিব পাৰে যে চৰকাৰে এই Transfer চাকৰি Licence permit ইত্যাদিৰ সুবিধা কৰি দি চৰকাৰী বিষয়া সকলক নিৰ্বাচনৰ কামত লগাইছে। এনেধৰণৰ অভিযোগৰ পৰা হাত সাৰিবলৈকে আমি সেই order সমূহ নিৰ্বাচনৰ আগছোৱাত স্থগিত ৰাখিছিলো। অৱশ্যে এই কথাটো আমি অস্বিকাৰ কৰিব নোৱাৰো যে কেইজনমান মানমীমা সদস্যই কোৱাৰ দৰে ঠাইয়ে ঠাইয়ে কোনো কোনো officer যে পক্ষে বিপক্ষে ছয়ো পক্ষকেই নিৰ্বাচনৰ কামত সহায় কৰিছে এই সংক্ৰান্তৰ যি সকল চৰকাৰী officer যে নিৰ্বাচনৰ কামত সহায় কৰিছে সেই সকলক এই বিলাক নিৰ্বাচনৰ সংক্ৰান্তত যি যি কথাই নকক আমাৰ প্ৰধান মন্ত্ৰীৰ শক্তিশালী নেতৃত্বত বস্তুত যি ভাবে আমাৰ কাৰ্যাসূচী ডাঙি ধৰা হৈছিল সেই গোটেই খিনিয়েই আমাৰ প্ৰধান মন্ত্ৰী শ্ৰীমতী ইন্দিৰা গান্ধীৰ সৱল সংগঠন যি সময়ত কংগ্ৰেছৰ বিভাজন হল তেতিয়া প্ৰধান মন্ত্ৰী গৰাকীৰ স্মৃষ্টি আৰু সৱল নেতৃত্বই পুনৰ কংগ্ৰেছক সুসংগঠন কৰাত এটা গভীৰ বোজা বহন কৰিলে।

এই কথাটো থিক যে আমি ইয়ালৈ নিৰ্বাচিত হৈ আহোঁতে কিছুমান বিশেষ জনহিতকৰ কাৰ্য্যকৰী আঁচনি হাতত লম বুলি ঘোষণা কৰিছিলো যাব দ্বাৰা আমাৰ দেশখনৰ আমাৰ জনসাধাৰনৰ উন্নতি সাধন হব গতিকে সেইধৰনৰ আঁচনি সমূহ কাৰ্য্যকৰী কৰাৰ উদ্দেশ্যে আমি কিছুমান কাম হাতত লবৰ বাবে তেনেধৰনৰ কাৰ্য্যকৰী আঁচনি প্ৰস্তুত কৰিছো আৰু তেনেবোৰ ঘোষণাৰ ওপৰতেই ভিত্তি কৰি বাইজে আমাক ভোট দিছিল।

(স্বৰ : আমি আশা কৰিছো অহাৰ মানো এখন ভাল বাজেট দেখা পাম)

আমাৰ এই খন দেশে আজি নানান সমস্যাৰ সন্মুখীন হৈ পৰিছে,

আজি সদনত বিবোধী পক্ষৰ মাননীয় সদস্য সকলে যি ধৰণে আলোচনা সমালোচনা কৰিছে তাতোকৈ বহুগুণে তিব্ৰ আৰু অল্প ভাবে আলোচনা কৰিছে আমাৰ এই পক্ষৰ সদস্য সকলে, এই কথা সকলোৱে স্বীকাৰো কৰিছে। কথাটো যথার্থ, এই কাৰণে যথার্থ যে আজি যিটো সমস্যা এই সমস্যাটো আমাৰ ৰাজ্য খনৰ কাৰণে অতি গুৰুত্বপূৰ্ণ, বিশাল আৰু গভীৰ। গতিকে Bora ডাঙৰীয়াই কোৱাৰ দৰে মাত্ৰ এমাহ সময়ৰ ভিতৰত এই সমস্যা সমাধান কৰিব পাৰিম বুলি সন্দেহ আছে। অৱশ্যে বাজেট অধিবেষণৰ আগতে কাৰ্য্যকৰী আঁচনি ডাঙি ধৰিবলৈ যত্নবোনাষ্টি চেষ্টা কৰিম।

অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, আজি আমি দেখিছো যে দেশৰ এই প্ৰগতীশীল কামসমূহ আগবাঢ়ি যোৱাত চৰকাৰৰ নানা বিলাক অন্তৰিধা তথা প্ৰতিবন্ধক আছে। ইকেৱল এটা সৱল আৰু শক্তিশালী নেতৃত্ব আৰু চৰকাৰী officer সকলৰ দ্বাৰাই সম্ভৱ নহয়, ইয়াৰ বাবে লাগে সদনৰ বাহিৰে ভিতৰে থকা ৰাজ্যখনৰ সমগ্ৰ জনসাধাৰণেৰে সৰ্বতোপ্ৰকাৰ সহায় সহযোগ। নিৰ্বাচনৰ সময়ত যি আস্থা ৰাখি আমাক পঠিয়াইছে মই আশা কৰো তেনে আস্থাৰ যথোপযুক্ত উত্তৰ আমি দিবলৈ সক্ষম হম আৰু এই আশা কৰি আমি পুৰণ কৰিব পাৰিম যদিহে ৰাইজেও আমাৰ ওপৰত কেতিয়াও আস্থা নেহেৰুৱাই। মোৰ দৃহ বিশ্বাস আছে যে ৰাজ্যখনক আগবঢ়াই দিয়াত সকলো শ্ৰেণীৰ জনসাধাৰনৰ পৰা আমি সবতে সহায় সহযোগিতা লাভ কৰিব পাৰিম। এই খিনিকে কৈ মাননীয় বৰা ডাঙৰীয়াই উত্থাপন কৰা ধন্যবাদ সূচক প্ৰস্তাৱৰ ওপৰত যি সংশোধনী প্ৰস্তাৱ আনিছে সেই প্ৰস্তাৱটো উঠাই লবলৈ অনুৰোধ জনাই মই সাধৰণি মাৰিলো।

Re: The Assam Panchayat Bill

Shri Soneswar Bora :- অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, যোৱা বিধান সভাত এই পঞ্চায়ত আইনখন উপস্থিতি হৈছিল। যোৱা ফেব্ৰুৱাৰী ২৮ তাৰিখে বিলখন দিয়া হৈছিল আৰু সেইদৰে আমি আশা কৰিছিলো যে পঞ্চায়ত

আইন বিলখন আহিব। আৰু এটা কথা, মুখ্য মন্ত্ৰী ডাঙৰীয়াই কৰাৰ দৰে যে নিৰ্বাচনৰ আগতে সকলো বাৰ্জনৈতিক দল লগলাগি এখন সভাপাতি তাত ঘোষণা কৰা হৈছিল যে কোনো লোককে Licence permit চাকৰী Transfer ইত্যাদি দিয়া নহব যাতে বাইজে ভাবিবলৈ থল নাথাকে যে কাবোৰক এই বিলাকৰ সুবিধা কৰি দি নিৰ্বাচনৰ কামত চৰকাৰী পক্ষই লগাইছে খিকতেনেকুৱা ব্যৱস্থা ই আশা কৰিব পাবোনে অহা পঞ্চায়ত নিৰ্বাচনত এই অধিবেশনত পঞ্চায়ত বিলখন নাছিল অহা অধিবেশনত আহিব লাগে আৰু নতুন আইন অনুসৰি এই বাৰৰ পঞ্চায়ত নিৰ্বাচন হব লাগে। আশাকৰো বাজেটখনা যেনে দৰে কৰা হব।

Shri Seyad Ahmed Ali Bill খন আমি examine কৰিবলৈ দিছো গতিকে বিলখন আহোতে কিছু পলম হব। পঞ্চায়ত আইন অনুসৰিয়েই অহা পঞ্চায়ত নিৰ্বাচন পাতিবলৈ স্পষ্ট কৰা হব।

Shri Gauri Sankar Bhattacharyya - অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয় আমাৰ যি বিধান সভাৰ অধিবেশন হৈছিল তাত যেতিয়া লৰা চপৰাকৈ এই আইন খন পাৰ কৰিবলৈ প্ৰস্তাৱ কৰা হৈছিল তেতিয়া এই কাৰণ পৰা বিৰোধিতা কৰা হৈছিল। এতিয়া কথা হল বাইজৰ জানিব দিব লাগে যে সেই আইনত কি কি সংশোধন দিয়া হৈছে?

অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, আমি এই পৰ্যায়ত দিয়া নাছিলো যে বিলখন তেনেই পেগাই থোৱা হওক, বহুত দিনৰ বাবে। আমি কেৱল নতুন পৰিস্থিতিত নতুন প্ৰয়োজন পূৰণ কৰিব পৰাৰ জোখৰে যাতে বিলখন সংশোধিত আকাৰত বিলখন আনিব পৰা যায় তাৰ কাৰণ পৰামৰ্শ দিছিলো। তুৰ্ভাগ্যক্রমে এই অধিবেশনটো ছুটি হোৱা হেতুকে নাইবা নতুন চৰকাৰে দায়িত্ব গ্ৰহণ কৰা হেতুকে নতুবা যিকোনো কাৰণেই এই অধিবেশনত নাছিল কিন্তু এইতো আশ্বাস পাব পাবোনে যে অহা অধিবেশনত বিলখন যেনেভেনে অনা হব আৰু এইবাৰৰ পঞ্চায়ত নিৰ্বাচন এই নতুন আইন অনুসৰি হব।

Shri Seyad Ahmed Ali - এইটোৰ কাৰণে বন্ধ কৰিম।
 Shri Kabri Chandra Roy Pradanin - মাননীয় মুখ্যমন্ত্রীয়ে
 কৈছে ক্ষমতা বিকেন্দ্ৰীভূত কৰিব মই কব খুজিছো নিৰ্বাচন খেলাৰ সময়ত
 গ্রামপৰিষায়ত যিটো পৰীবেশৰ সৃষ্টি হয় সেই পৰিবেশ পৰিষ্কাৰিত
 ভাবে পৰিষ্কাৰ নকৰিলে এই বিকেন্দ্ৰীভূত ক্ষমতা দলীয় ভাবে ব্যৱহৃত
 হব নে বিৰপেক্ষ ভাবে ব্যৱহৃত হব

Shri Sarat Chandra Sinha - বিৰপেক্ষ ভাবে। লগতে মই
 মাননীয় সদস্য শ্ৰীলীলাকান্ত বৰা ডাঙৰীয়াৰ ধন্যবাদ সূচক প্ৰশ্নৰ
 বাবে বি সংশোধন প্ৰস্তাৱ আনিছে সেই প্ৰস্তাৱটো উঠাইলবলৈ অনুৰোধ
 কৰিছো।

Mr. Speaker - উঠাই লব নেকি।

Shri Sonswar Bora : লোৱা নাই।

Mr. Speaker - শ্ৰীবেংকা দেৱী বৰকতকী প্ৰশ্নে ২ জন আছে।
 আমাৰ ইকেইজনকে সোধিছো কোনোবাই উঠাই লব নেকি? প্ৰথমতে
 মই সংশোধনীৰ প্ৰস্তাৱে সেইটো দাঙি ধৰিছো। যি সকল সংশোধনৰ
 পক্ষে তেখেতসকলে। কব আৰু বিপক্ষৰ সকলে No কব।

The amendments are lost (By voice vote) Now I
 put the main question : " That this Neuen do now
 discuss the address of the Governor of Assam which
 he has been pleased to make just now and adopt
 the same.

(The motion was adopted)

PROROGATION

Mr. Speaker - There is an order. " In exercise of the
 powers conferred by clause (2) (a) of Article 174 of
 the Constiution of India, as amended up to date, I,

Biraj Kumar Nehru, Governor of Assam, her by prorogue the Assam Legislative Assembly at the conclusion of its sitting on the 8th April, 1972.'

The House then prorogued

Shillong,

The 8-4-72

U. Tahbildar
Secretary

Legislative Assembly, Assam

Mr. Speaker - The motion was adopted.

Mr. Speaker - The motion was adopted.

Mr. Speaker - The motion was adopted.

The amendments are lost (by voice vote). Now I discuss the address of the Governor of Assam which he has been pleased to make just now and adopt the same.

(The motion was adopted)

PROROGATION

Mr. Speaker - There is an order "in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (2) (a) of Article 174 of the Constitution of India, as amended up to date,